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## Introduction

The talk is a mini-review about

- transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization theorems,
- soft factors,
- rapidity divergences,
- rapidity anomalous dimension and TMD evolution,
- and its interpretation.


## Plan of the talk

- introduction to TMD factorization,
- TMD soft factor and rapidity divergences,
- renormalization theorem for rapidity divergences,
- evolution equation and $\zeta$-prescription
- comparison with the data
- interpretation of rapidity anomalous dimension

Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization describes double-inclusive processes in the regime of small transverse momentum $\left(q_{T}^{2} \ll Q^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { processes: } & h_{1}+h_{2} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} / Z / W+X \\
& h_{1}+\gamma^{*} \rightarrow h_{2}+X \\
& e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow h_{1}+h_{2}+X
\end{aligned}
$$

"Drell-Yan"
semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS)


TMD regime

The transverse momentum of photon $q_{T}$ is determined with respect to "hadron plane"

In TMD regime the produced transverse momentum is mostly of "non-perturbative" origin: $\Rightarrow$ TMD distributions (PDFs and FFs)


TMD distributions should not be mistaken with collinear distributions (although they have some common points).

- Structurally different: different divergences and different evolution.


## Part I: TMD factorization
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## Structure of TMD factorization
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## Structure of TMD factorization



## Reminder

Summation of soft gluon exchanges $\Rightarrow$ Wilson lines

$$
[x, y]=P \exp \left(i g \int_{x}^{y} d z^{\mu} A_{\mu}(z)\right)
$$

Parallel transporter of a gluon field.

- Sums soft-exchanges between hadron and parton
- Presented in all elements of factorization theorems


## Example: parton distribution function

$$
\left.\left.f(x)=\int \frac{d \lambda}{2 \pi} e^{i x p \lambda}\langle\text { hadron }| \bar{q}(\lambda n)[\lambda n, 0] q(0) \right\rvert\, \text { hadron }\right\rangle
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
n^{2}=0 \\
\text { on light-cone }
\end{gathered}
$$
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TMD factorization is full of (light-like) Wilson lines

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d X} \simeq H(Q) \int \frac{d^{2} b}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{i(b k)_{T}} f\left(x_{A}, b\right) S(b) f\left(x_{B}, b\right)
$$



Universitât Regensburg

TMD factorization is full of (light-like) Wilson lines

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d X} \simeq H(Q) \int \frac{d^{2} b}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{i(b k)_{T}} f\left(x_{A}, b\right) S(b) f\left(x_{B}, b\right)
$$



Universitãt Regensburg

## TMD soft factor

$$
S\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right)=\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}\left(\mathbf{0}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{-n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{0}_{T}\right)\right)|0\rangle
$$



Light-like vectors:

$$
n^{2}=\bar{n}^{2}=0, \quad(n \cdot \bar{n})=1
$$

Wilson line (ray)

$$
\mathbf{\Phi}_{v}(x)=P \exp \left(i g \int_{0}^{\infty} d \sigma v^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{A}(v \sigma+x) \mathbf{T}^{A}\right)
$$

Looks simple, but SF is a theoretician's nightmare.
Multiple divergences!

## TMD soft factor

$$
S\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right)=\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}\left(\mathbf{0}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{0}_{T}\right)\right)|0\rangle
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d x d y & D(x-y) \\
& =\quad \int_{0}^{\infty} d x^{+} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y^{-} \frac{1}{x^{+} y^{-}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d x^{+}}{x^{+}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y^{-}}{y^{-}} \\
& =(\mathrm{UV}+\mathrm{IR})(\mathrm{UV}+\mathrm{IR})
\end{aligned}
$$

Some people set it to zero.

## TMD soft factor

$$
S\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right)=\langle 0| \operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}\left(\mathbf{0}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{-n}\left(\mathbf{b}_{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{0}_{T}\right)\right)|0\rangle
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \quad d x d y & D(x-y) \\
& =\quad \int_{0}^{\infty} d x^{+} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y^{-} \frac{1}{\left(2 x^{+} y^{-}+\mathbf{b}_{T}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\quad \text { IR at } x, y \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

However, it exactly cancels IR from the previous diagram
IR-cancellation proved at all orders, e.g.[Echevarria,Scimemi,AV, 1511.05590]

## TMD soft factor



Regularizations for rapidity divergences

- Rapidity divergences are not regularized by dim.reg.
- There are many regularizations:
- $\delta$-regularization [Echevarria,Scimemi,AV,1511.05590],
- exponential-regularization [Li,Neill,Zhu,1604.00392],
- off-light-cone Wilson lines [Collins' textbook],
- analytical regularization [Chiu, et al,1104.0881],
- ...

The most important property of SF is that its logarithm is linear in $\ln \left(\delta^{+} \delta^{-}\right)$
(2-loop check [1511.05590])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad S\left(b_{T}\right)=\exp \left(A\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right) \ln \left(\delta^{+} \delta^{-}\right)+B\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right)\right) \\
& \delta^{+(-)} \text {regularizes rap.div. in } n(\bar{n}) \text { direction, } \delta^{ \pm} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Important note 1: the structure holds for arbitrary $\epsilon$
- Important note 2: the structure holds at all orders of PT [AV, 1707.07606]

Factorization of rapidity-divergences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(b_{T}\right)=\exp \left(A\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right) \ln \left(\delta^{+} \delta^{-}\right)+B\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right)\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\frac{A\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right)}{2} \ln \left(\zeta^{+}\left(\delta^{+}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{B\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right)}{2}\right) \exp \left(\frac{A\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right)}{2} \ln \left(\zeta^{-}\left(\delta^{-}\right)^{2}\right)+\frac{B\left(b_{T}, \epsilon\right)}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Factorization of rapidity-divergences

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \begin{array}{c}
\text { rap.div. } \\
\text { in } n \text {-direction }
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { rap.div. } \\
\text { in } \bar{n} \text {-direction }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The rapidity divergences related to different sectors factorize
- Factorization introduces an additional scales $\zeta$ : (here $\zeta^{+} \zeta^{-}=1$ )
- The factorization of rapidity divergences in TMD soft-factor is a consequence of renormalization theorem for rapidity divergences.

Renormalization theorem for rapidity divergences.[AV, 1707.07606]

At any finite order of perturbation theory there exists the "rapidity divergence renormalization factor" $\mathbf{R}_{n}$, which contains only rapidity divergences associated with the direction $n$, such that the combination

$$
S^{R}\left(\{b\}, \zeta^{+}, \zeta^{-}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{n}\left(\{b\}, \zeta^{+}\right) S(\{b\}) \mathbf{R}_{\bar{n}}^{\dagger}\left(\{b\}, \zeta^{-}\right)
$$

is free of rapidity divergences.
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The essence of proof is the equivalence of rapidity divergences and ultraviolet divergences.

## In conformal field theory
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In CFT rapidity renormalization factor equals to UV renormalization

## In QCD

The existence of renormalization can be proved order-by-order with iterations using

- Renormalization statement in CFT
- Conformal-invariance of QCD at tree-order.
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In QCD
The existence of renormalization can be proved order-by-order with iterations using

- Renormalization statement in CFT
- Conformal-invariance of QCD at tree-order.


## What I did not discuss

- Factorization for Multi-Parton scattering
- All-order restrictions on the soft anomalous dimension
- Counting rules for rap.div., and definition of $R^{\prime}$-operation
- Overlap of rap.divs. and violation of factorization


## Rapidity anomalous dimension

Similarly to UV renormalization the rapidity-divergence renormalization satisfies renormalization group equation with respect to rapidity divergence renormalization scale $\zeta$. The scaling with $\zeta$ has anomalous dimension

$$
\mathcal{D}(b)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(b, \zeta) \frac{d}{d \ln \zeta} \mathbf{R}(b, \zeta)
$$

In literature, this object is known

- under different names: "non-perturbative Sudakov kernel", "CSS kernel", "rapidity anomalous dimension".
- under different letters $-K(b) / 2$ [Collins,et al], $F_{q \bar{q}}(b)$ [Becher,Neubert], $\gamma_{\nu}, \ldots$
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Important consequence:
correspondence between soft- and rapidity-anomalous dimensions.

In conformal field theory

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mu, \mathbf{b})=\gamma_{s}\left(\mu,\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{2}\right)\right), \quad\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{2}\right)=\mathbf{b}^{2} e^{2 \gamma_{E}} / 4
$$

Checked by explicit calculation in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ [Li,Zhu,1604.01404]
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Checked by explicit calculation in $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ [Li,Zhu,1604.01404]

In QCD
In QCD the same relation holds at the critical point (e.g. $\epsilon^{*}=-\beta\left(a_{s}\right)$ )

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(\mu, \mathbf{b} ; \epsilon^{*}\right)=\gamma_{s}\left(\mu,\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{2}\right)\right), \quad\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{2}\right)=\mathbf{b}^{2} e^{2 \gamma_{E}} / 4
$$

This relation allows one to gain $\beta$-function terms of higher-order from lower order [AV, 1610.05791].

Using this relation, one can derive 3-loop $\mathcal{D}$ from 2-loop $\mathcal{D}$ and 3-loop $\gamma_{s}$.

$$
\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{s}(\{v\})=2 \mathbf{D}\left(\{\mathbf{b}\}, \epsilon^{*}\right)
$$

How to use it?

- Physical value is $\mathbf{D}(\{\mathbf{b}\}, 0)$
- $\epsilon^{*}=0-a_{s} \beta_{0}-a_{s}^{2} \beta_{1}-a_{s}^{3} \beta_{2}-\ldots$
- We can compare order by order in PT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{D}_{1}(\{b\})=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}(\{v\}), \\
& \mathbf{D}_{2}(\{b\})=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}(\{v\})+\beta_{0} \mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}(\{b\}), \\
& \mathbf{D}_{3}(\{b\})=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{3}(\{v\})+\beta_{0} \mathbf{D}_{2}^{\prime}(\{b\})+\beta_{1} \mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}(\{b\})-\frac{\beta_{0}^{2}}{2} \mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\{b\}),
\end{aligned}
$$
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## TMD rapidity anomalous dimension

## 3-loop expression for RAD

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}, \epsilon\right)= & -2 a_{s} C_{F}\left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}^{2}}{4}\right)^{\epsilon} \Gamma(-\epsilon)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right]=a_{s} C_{F}\{2 \mathbf{L}_{\mu}+\epsilon \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mu}^{2}+\zeta_{2}\right)}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}+\ldots\} \\
\mathcal{D}_{2}\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}, \epsilon\right)= & a_{s}^{2} C_{F}\left\{\boldsymbol { B } ^ { 2 \epsilon } \Gamma ^ { 2 } ( - \epsilon ) \left(C_{A}\left(2 \psi-2 \epsilon-2 \psi_{-\epsilon}+\psi_{\epsilon}+\gamma_{E}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1-\epsilon}{(1-2 \epsilon)(3-2 \epsilon)}\left(\frac{3(4-3 \epsilon)}{2 \epsilon} C_{A}-N_{f}\right)\right)+\boldsymbol{B}^{\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \beta_{0}+\frac{\beta_{0}}{2 \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2 \epsilon}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking

$$
\gamma_{s}=C_{F} a_{s}\left(\Gamma_{0} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}-\tilde{\gamma}_{0}\right)+C_{F} a_{s}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}-\tilde{\gamma}_{1}\right)+C_{F} a_{s}^{3}\left(\Gamma_{2} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}-\tilde{\gamma}_{2}\right)+\ldots
$$

We find

$$
\mathcal{D}_{3}\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}, 0\right)=\mathrm{logs}-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{2}}{2}+\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{0} \Gamma_{1}\right) \zeta_{2}-\frac{2}{3} \beta_{0}^{2} \zeta_{3}+\beta_{0}\left\{C_{A}\left(\frac{2428}{81}-26 \zeta_{4}\right)-N_{f} \frac{328}{81}\right\}
$$

It coincides with the direct calculation [Li,Zhu,1604.01404].

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{L=0}^{(3)}= & -\frac{C_{A}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{12328}{27} \zeta_{3}-\frac{88}{3} \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3}-192 \zeta_{5}-\frac{297029}{729}+\frac{6392}{81} \zeta_{2}+\frac{154}{3} \zeta_{4}\right) \\
& -\frac{C_{A} N_{f}}{2}\left(-\frac{904}{27} \zeta_{3}+\frac{62626}{729}-\frac{824}{81} \zeta_{2}+\frac{20}{3} \zeta_{4}\right)- \\
& \frac{C_{F} N_{f}}{2}\left(-\frac{304}{9} \zeta_{3}+\frac{1711}{27}-16 \zeta_{4}\right)-\frac{N_{f}^{2}}{2}\left(-\frac{32}{9} \zeta_{3}-\frac{1856}{729}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Important in QCD

## rapidity anomalous dimension is generically non-perturbative

- Non-perturbative terms important at $b \gtrsim \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{-1}$
- At $b \rightarrow 0$ is entirely perturbative
- There is no "non-perturbative" proof of factorization, but it is expected (e.g. $b^{2}$-correction factorizes at LO [Scimemi,AV,1609.06047], at all orders [AV, in prep.])
- All non-perturbative correction must turn to zero at $\epsilon^{*}$, "renomalon nature".


## Final form of TMD factorization

$$
d \sigma \sim \int d^{2} b_{T} e^{-i(q b)_{T}} H\left(Q^{2}\right) \Phi_{h_{1}}\left(z_{1}, b_{T}\right) S\left(b_{T}\right) \Delta_{h_{2}}\left(z_{2}, b_{T}\right)+Y
$$


spliting rapidity singularities

$$
S\left(b_{T}\right) \rightarrow R\left(b_{T}, \zeta^{+}\right) \cdot S_{0} \cdot R^{\dagger}\left(b_{T}, \zeta^{-}\right)
$$



$$
d \sigma \sim \int d^{2} b_{T} e^{-i(q b)_{T}} H\left(Q^{2}\right) F\left(z_{1}, b_{T} ; \zeta^{+}\right) D\left(z_{2}, b_{T} ; \zeta^{-}\right)+Y
$$



$S_{0}$ is the finite parts of rapidity renormalization

Commonly used
renormalization scheme: $S_{0}=1$

## Part II: TMD evolution
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$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d y d Q^{2} d^{2} \mathbf{q}_{T}}=\sigma_{0} \int d^{2} b e^{i\left(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{T}\right)} H_{f f^{\prime}}(Q, \mu) F_{f \leftarrow h}\left(x_{1}, b ; \mu, \zeta_{1}\right) D_{f^{\prime} \leftarrow h}\left(x_{2}, b ; \mu, \zeta_{2}\right)+\ldots
$$

TMD evolution is given by 2 equations

$$
\mu^{2} \frac{d F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta)}{d \mu^{2}}=\gamma_{F}(\mu, \zeta) F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta), \quad \zeta \frac{d F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta)}{d \zeta}=-\mathcal{D}(\mu, b) F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta)
$$
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## TMD evolution is a double-scale evolution

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d \mu^{2}} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) & =\frac{\gamma_{F}^{f}(\mu, \zeta)}{2} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta)  \tag{1}\\
\zeta \frac{d}{d \zeta} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) & =-\mathcal{D}^{f}(\mu, b) F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Both anomalous dimensions related to each other (CS equation [Collins,Sopper,1981])

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\zeta \frac{d \gamma_{F}(\mu, \zeta)}{d \zeta}=2 \mu^{2} \frac{d \mathcal{D}(\mu, b)}{d \mu^{2}}=\Gamma_{\operatorname{cusp}}(\mu) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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TMD evolution is a double-scale evolution

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu^{2} \frac{d}{d \mu^{2}} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) & =\frac{\gamma_{F}^{f}(\mu, \zeta)}{2} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta),  \tag{1}\\
\zeta \frac{d}{d \zeta} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) & =-\mathcal{D}^{f}(\mu, b) F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b ; \mu, \zeta), \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Solution: $\quad F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{f}, \zeta_{f}\right)=R\left[\mathbf{b} ;\left(\mu_{f}, \zeta_{f}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mu_{i}, \zeta_{i}\right)\right] F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{i}, \zeta_{i}\right)$
Expression for $R$ is known as "Sudakov exponent" e.g.[Collins' textbook]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \exp \left\{\ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{A}}}{\mu_{b}} \tilde{K}\left(b_{*} ; \mu_{b}\right)+\int_{\mu_{n}}^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu^{\prime}}{\mu^{\prime}}\left[\gamma_{D}\left(g\left(\mu^{\prime}\right) ; 1\right)-\ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_{A}}}{\mu^{\prime}} \gamma_{K}\left(g\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\right\} \tag{13.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is probably the best formula for calculating and fitting TMD fragmentation functions;

## Two-dimensional picture

see details in [Scimemi,AV,1803.11089]


Evolution field
is conservative
Evol.potential:

TMD evolution is 2D evolution

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu^{2} \frac{d F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta)}{d \mu^{2}}=\gamma_{F}(\mu, \zeta) F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) \\
\zeta \frac{d F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta)}{d \zeta}=-\mathcal{D}(\mu, b) F(x, b ; \mu, \zeta) \\
\text { or } \\
\vec{\nabla} F=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}} F
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}=\left(\frac{\gamma_{F}}{2},-\mathcal{D}\right)
$$

$$
\vec{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}}=0
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}=\nabla U
$$

```
see details in [Scimemi,AV,1803.11089]
```



Evolution field
is conservative
Evol.potential:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}=\left(\frac{\gamma_{F}}{2},-\mathcal{D}\right) \\
& \vec{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}}=0 \\
& \mathbf{E}=\nabla U
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution

$$
F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right)=R\left[\mathbf{b} ;\left(\mu_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mu_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right] F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)
$$

| Initial scales: |
| :---: |
| $\mu_{1} \simeq Q$ |
| $\zeta_{1}=Q^{2}$ |
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Solution

$$
F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right)=R\left[\mathbf{b} ;\left(\mu_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mu_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right] F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)
$$



Main complication:
$\mathbf{b}^{2} \in(0, \infty)$
perturbative logarithms $\ln \left(\mathbf{b}^{2} \mu^{2}\right), \ln \left(\mathbf{b}^{2} \zeta\right), \ln \left(\mu^{2} / \zeta\right), a_{s}(\mu)$
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Solution

$$
F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right)=R\left[\mathbf{b} ;\left(\mu_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mu_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right] F\left(x, \mathbf{b} ; \mu_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)
$$



It is not possible to minimize all logarithms in a reasonably wide range of $b$. Something blows up in any case.

But it is not needed!

TMD distribution is not defined by a scale $(\mu, \zeta)$
It is defined by an equipotential line.

The scaling is defined by a difference between scales

a difference between potentials
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TMD distribution is not defined by a scale $(\mu, \zeta)$ It is defined by an equipotential line.


The scaling is defined by a difference between scales
a difference between potentials
Evolution factor to both points is the same
although the scales are different by $10^{2} \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
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## TMD distributions on the same equipotential line are equivalent.

$$
\text { ( } 10 \text { Ne can enumerate them by a }
$$

## Universal scale-independent TMD

There is a unique line which passes though all $\mu$ 's
The optimal TMD distribution
$F(x, b)=F\left(x, b ; \mu, \zeta_{\mu}\right)$
where $\zeta_{\mu}$ is the special line.




The evolution potential depends on $b$.
Relative position of its elements (saddle-point, special lines) dictates the shape of evolution factor.



Evolution factor has simple expression

$$
R[\mathbf{b} ;(\mu, \zeta) \rightarrow \text { s.l. }]=\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\mu}}\right)^{-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b}, \mu)}
$$

Good PT convergence
$\mu=Q$

# Part III: Practice 
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Single cross-section $\Rightarrow$ three NP functions

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d p_{T}^{2} d Q} \simeq \sigma_{0}(Q) \int d^{2} \mathbf{b} e^{i \mathbf{b} \mathbf{p}_{T}}\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{\zeta_{Q}(b)}\right)^{-2 \mathcal{D}(Q, b)} F_{1}\left(x_{1}, \mathbf{b}\right) F_{2}\left(x_{2}, \mathbf{b}\right)
$$

- TMD distribution $F_{1}\left(x_{1}, b\right)$
- TMD distribution $F_{2}\left(x_{2}, b\right)$
- non-perturbative evolution $\mathcal{D}(Q, b)$
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- TMD distribution $F_{1}\left(x_{1}, b\right)$
- TMD distribution $F_{2}\left(x_{2}, b\right)$
- non-perturbative evolution $\mathcal{D}(Q, b)$


Universităt Regensburg

$$
\text { TMD factorization } \Rightarrow \text { small- } q_{T} / Q
$$



Data-cut rule

$$
q_{T} \simeq 0.25 Q
$$

see study in
[I.Scimemi,AV,1706.01473]

Global fit of TMD Drell-Yan data
[V.Bertone,I.Scimemi,AV,1902.08474]


$$
x_{1,2}=\frac{Q}{\sqrt{s}} e^{ \pm y} \sqrt{1+\frac{q_{T}^{2}}{Q^{2}}}
$$

High-energy: CDF, D0, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb 194 points

Low-energy: E288, E605, E772, PHENIX 263 points

Total: 457 points

$$
4<Q<150 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

$$
x>10^{-4}
$$

## Non-perturbative evolution kernel




$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b})=\mathcal{D}_{\text {pert }}\left(b^{*}(\mathbf{b})\right)+c_{0} \mathbf{b} b^{*}(\mathbf{b}),
$$

$$
b^{*}(\mathbf{b})=\mathbf{b} / \sqrt{1+\mathbf{b}^{2} / B_{\mathrm{NP}}^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{\mathrm{NP}} \simeq 2 \mathrm{GeV} \\
c_{0} \simeq 0.03 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Non-perturbative evolution kernel
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Extracted non-perturbative rapidity anomalous dimension is universal and can be used to describe different data

Pion-induced Drell-Yan [AV,1907.10356]
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Extracted non-perturbative rapidity anomalous dimension is universal and can be used to describe different data

Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering [Scimemi,AV, work in progress]


## Extracted non-perturbative rapidity anomalous dimension

 is universal and can be used to describe different data
## artemide

Program package for TMD phenomenology

- Efficient code based on TMD factorization
- Variety of evolution schemes (CSS, $\zeta$-prescription, improved- $\mathcal{D}$, resummed, etc)
- Full control on non-perturbative and model inputs.
- All possible combinations of perturbative inputs LO,NLO,NNLO,"NNNLL"
- Bin-integrations, lepton cuts, etc.
- The library of processes is constantly updating
- Drell-Yan -like
- (unpolarized) $Z / \gamma^{*}$, $W$ 's, Higgs, pion-induces.
- SIDIS
- unpolarized
- Sivers effect (in preparation)
- More in plans
repository:
https://github.com/VladimirovAlexey/artemide-public


## Part IV: <br> A bit on interpretation <br> (in progress)



Rapidity anomalous dimension is function that directly measures properties of QCD vacuum Which properties?

Non-perturbative definition of RAD
Rapidity anomalous dimension is independent on regularization.

$$
S\left(b ; \Lambda_{+} \Lambda_{-}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}}{N_{c}}\langle 0| P \exp \left(-i g \int_{C} d x^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x)\right)|0\rangle
$$



Rapidity anomalous dimension is function that directly measures properties of QCD vacuum

Which properties?

Non-perturbative definition of RAD
Rapidity anomalous dimension is independent on regularization.

$$
S\left(b ; \Lambda_{+} \Lambda_{-}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}}{N_{c}}\langle 0| P \exp \left(-i g \int_{C} d x^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x)\right)|0\rangle
$$



$$
\text { At } \Lambda_{+} \Lambda_{-} \rightarrow \infty
$$

$$
S\left(b ; \Lambda_{+} \Lambda_{-}\right)=\exp \left(-2 \mathcal{D}(b) \ln \left(\Lambda_{+} \Lambda_{-}\right)+\ldots .\right)
$$

Due to Lorentz invariance it is enough to $\Lambda_{-} \rightarrow \infty$

Rapidity anomalous dimension can be defined as a primary object.


- Route to non-perturbative calculation and modeling.
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Power correction to $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b})=\underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\text {pert }}\left(\ln \left(\mu^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}\right)\right)}_{\text {known at } \mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{LO}}+\mathbf{b}^{2} \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\ln \left(\mu^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}\right)\right)+\mathbf{b}^{4} \mathcal{D}_{2}\left(\ln \left(\mu^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}\right)\right)+\ldots
$$
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$$
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$\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is expressed via 2-point correlators connected by "a minimal distance link"
$g^{2} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}}{N_{c}}\langle 0| F_{\mu x}(x)[x, 0][0, y] F_{\nu y}(y)|0\rangle=$

$$
\left(g^{\mu \nu}-\frac{y^{\mu} x^{\nu}}{(x y)}\right) \varphi_{1}(x, y)+(\ldots)^{\mu \nu} \varphi_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { At LO } x^{2}=y^{2}=0 \\
\varphi_{1}(x, y)=\varphi_{1}\left(r^{2}\right) \\
\varphi_{2}(x, y)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1}(\mathbf{b})=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \mathbf{r}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}^{2}} \varphi_{1}\left(\mathbf{r}^{2}\right), \quad \text { here } \mathbf{r}^{2}=-r^{2}>0
$$

Power correction to $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b})=\underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\text {pert }}\left(\ln \left(\mu^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}\right)\right)}_{\text {known at } \mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{LO}}+\mathbf{b}^{2} \mathcal{D}_{1}\left(\ln \left(\mu^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}\right)\right)+\mathbf{b}^{4} \mathcal{D}_{2}\left(\ln \left(\mu^{2} \mathbf{b}^{2}\right)\right)+\ldots
$$

## Estimation

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1}(\mathbf{b})=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \mathbf{r}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}^{2}} \varphi_{1}\left(\mathbf{r}^{2}\right), \quad \text { here } \mathbf{r}^{2}=-r^{2}>0
$$

- At $\mathbf{r}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \varphi_{1} \sim \mathbf{r}^{2} \frac{\pi^{2}}{36} G_{2}$
- At $\mathbf{r}^{2} \rightarrow \infty, \varphi_{1} \sim \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}^{2}}$ (at least)

So, order-of-magnitude estimation

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1} \lesssim \frac{\pi^{2}}{72} \frac{G_{2}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}} \sim(0.01-0.05) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
$$

Extracted value

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1}=0.022 \pm 0.009 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
$$

## Non-perturbative evolution kernel

measures properties of QCD vacuum
(but requires model for interpretation)

$\bar{Q} Q$-potential

Stochastic Vacuum model

- The simplest model for QCD vacuum (Wilson-lines unimportant)
- Allows for the definition of a "static potential" (linear)

$$
V(\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{r} \frac{\pi}{4} \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}(0)+\frac{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(0)}{2}+\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\infty} d \mathbf{x} \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{x}^{2} \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^{2}-\mathbf{r}^{2}}}
$$

- "String tension" $\sigma=\frac{\pi}{4} \mathcal{D}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2} c_{0} \simeq 0.05 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ vs. $0.19 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


## Conclusion

Nowadays,

- transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization theorem is proved,
- divergences of (TMD) soft factors are understood,
- rapidity anomalous dimension are known at NNLO
- TMD evolution works!.


## Future

- global phenomenology DY+SIDIS, asymmetries
- interpretation and models,
- lattice measurements of $\mathcal{D}$,
- ...
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