Evolution of transverse momentum dependent distributions

Alexey Vladimirov Universität Regensburg



October 29, 2019 Mainz



Universität Regensburg

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Introduction

The talk is a mini-review about

- ▶ transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization theorems,
- ▶ soft factors,
- ▶ rapidity divergences,
- rapidity anomalous dimension and TMD evolution,
- ▶ and its interpretation.

Plan of the talk

- introduction to TMD factorization,
- TMD soft factor and rapidity divergences,
- renormalization theorem for rapidity divergences,
- ▶ evolution equation and ζ -prescription
- comparison with the data
- ▶ interpretation of rapidity anomalous dimension

Universität Regensburg

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization describes double-inclusive processes in the regime of small transverse momentum $(q_T^2 \ll Q^2)$

processes: $h_1 + h_2 \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z/W + X$ $h_1 + \gamma^* \rightarrow h_2 + X$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_1 + h_2 + X$ "Drell-Yan" semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS)



The transverse momentum of photon q_T is determined with respect to "hadron plane"

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Universität Regensburg

In TMD regime the produced transverse momentum is mostly of "non-perturbative" origin: \Rightarrow TMD distributions (PDFs and FFs)



TMD distributions should not be mistaken with collinear distributions (although they have some common points).

▶ Structurally different: different divergences and different evolution.

A	vla	dim	nrov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 4 / 43

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Part I: TMD factorization



A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 5 / 43

Structure of TMD factorization



Structure of TMD factorization



Reminder

Summation of soft gluon exchanges \Rightarrow Wilson lines

$$[x,y] = P \exp\left(ig \int_x^y dz^\mu A_\mu(z)\right)$$

Parallel transporter of a gluon field.

- ▶ Sums soft-exchanges between hadron and parton
- ▶ Presented in all elements of factorization theorems

Example: parton distribution function

$$f(x) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ixp\lambda} \langle \text{hadron} | \bar{q}(\lambda n) [\lambda n, 0] q(0) | \text{hadron} \rangle$$

$$-\infty n \xrightarrow{\qquad 0 \qquad \qquad } n \qquad n^2 = 0 \\ \hline q \qquad \text{Wilson line} \quad \bar{q} \qquad \qquad n \qquad \text{on light-cone}$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Reminder

Summation of soft gluon exchanges \Rightarrow Wilson lines

$$[x,y] = P \exp\left(ig \int_x^y dz^\mu A_\mu(z)\right)$$

Parallel transporter of a gluon field.

- ▶ Sums soft-exchanges between hadron and parton
- ▶ Presented in all elements of factorization theorems

Example: parton distribution function $f(x) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ixp\lambda} \langle hadron | \bar{q}(\lambda n) [\lambda n, 0] q(0) | hadron \rangle$ $0 \qquad \lambda \qquad n^2 = 0$ on light-cone
Universität Regensburg
Constraint of the evolution
Constraint of the evolution TMD factorization is full of (light-like) Wilson lines

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dX} \simeq H(Q) \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(bk)_T} f(x_A, b) \frac{S(b)}{S(b)} f(x_B, b)$$



TMD factorization is full of (light-like) Wilson lines

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dX} \simeq H(Q) \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(bk)_T} f(x_A, b) \frac{S(b)}{S(b)} f(x_B, b)$$



$$S(\mathbf{b}_T) = \langle 0 | \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{0}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_n^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-n}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0}_T) \right) | 0 \rangle$$



$$S(\mathbf{b}_T) = \langle 0 | \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{0}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_n^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-n}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0}_T) \right) | 0 \rangle$$



$$dxdy \quad D(x-y)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty dx^+ \int_0^\infty dy^- \frac{1}{x^+y^-}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{dx^+}{x^+} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy^-}{y^-}$$

$$= (UV + IR) (UV + IR)$$

Some people set it to zero.

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)

$$S(\mathbf{b}_T) = \langle 0 | \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{0}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_n^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-n}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0}_T) \right) | 0 \rangle$$



A.Vladimirov

$$S(\mathbf{b}_T) = \langle 0 | \operatorname{Tr} \left(\mathbf{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{0}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_n^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-n}(\mathbf{b}_T) \mathbf{\Phi}_{-\bar{n}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{0}_T) \right) | 0 \rangle$$



A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 9 / 43

Regularizations for rapidity divergences

- ▶ Rapidity divergences are not regularized by dim.reg.
- ▶ There are many regularizations:
 - δ-regularization [Echevarria,Scimemi,AV,1511.05590],
 - exponential-regularization [Li,Neill,Zhu,1604.00392],
 - off-light-cone Wilson lines [Collins' textbook],
 - analytical regularization [Chiu, et al,1104.0881],
 - ...

The most important property of SF is that its logarithm is linear in $\ln(\delta^+\delta^-)$ (2-loop check [1511.05590])

$$S(b_T) = \exp\left(A(b_T, \epsilon)\ln(\delta^+\delta^-) + B(b_T, \epsilon)\right)$$

 $\delta^{+(-)}$ regularizes rap.div. in $n(\bar{n})$ direction, $\delta^{\pm} \to 0$.

- \blacktriangleright Important note 1: the structure holds for arbitrary ϵ
- ▶ Important note 2: the structure holds at all orders of PT [AV,1707.07606]

A.Vladimirov

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Universität Regensburg

Factorization of rapidity-divergences

$$S(b_T) = \exp\left(A(b_T, \epsilon)\ln(\delta^+\delta^-) + B(b_T, \epsilon)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(\frac{A(b_T, \epsilon)}{2}\ln(\zeta^+(\delta^+)^2) + \frac{B(b_T, \epsilon)}{2}\right)\exp\left(\frac{A(b_T, \epsilon)}{2}\ln(\zeta^-(\delta^-)^2) + \frac{B(b_T, \epsilon)}{2}\right)$$



A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

Factorization of rapidity-divergences

$$S(b_T) = \exp\left(A(b_T, \epsilon)\ln(\delta^+\delta^-) + B(b_T, \epsilon)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{A(b_T,\epsilon)}{2}\ln(\zeta^+(\delta^+)^2) + \frac{B(b_T,\epsilon)}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{A(b_T,\epsilon)}{2}\ln(\zeta^-(\delta^-)^2) + \frac{B(b_T,\epsilon)}{2}\right)$$
rap.div.
in *n*-direction
rap.div.

- ▶ The rapidity divergences related to different sectors factorize
- ► Factorization introduces an additional scales ζ : (here $\zeta^+ \zeta^- = 1$)
- ▶ The factorization of rapidity divergences in TMD soft-factor is a consequence of **renormalization theorem for rapidity divergences.**



Renormalization theorem for rapidity divergences. [AV,1707.07606]

At any finite order of perturbation theory there exists the "rapidity divergence renormalization factor" \mathbf{R}_n , which contains only rapidity divergences associated with the direction n, such that the combination

$$S^{R}(\{b\}, \zeta^{+}, \zeta^{-}) = \mathbf{R}_{n}(\{b\}, \zeta^{+})S(\{b\})\mathbf{R}_{\bar{n}}^{\dagger}(\{b\}, \zeta^{-})$$

is free of rapidity divergences.



The essence of proof is the equivalence of rapidity divergences and ultraviolet divergences.

In conformal field theory



The essence of proof is the equivalence of rapidity divergences and ultraviolet divergences.

In conformal field theory



The essence of proof is the equivalence of rapidity divergences and ultraviolet divergences.

In conformal field theory



In QCD $\,$

The existence of renormalization can be proved order-by-order with iterations using

- ▶ Renormalization statement in CFT
- ▶ Conformal-invariance of QCD at tree-order.



In QCD $\,$

The existence of renormalization can be proved order-by-order with iterations using

- Renormalization statement in CFT
- ▶ Conformal-invariance of QCD at tree-order.

What I did not discuss

- ▶ Factorization for Multi-Parton scattering
- ▶ All-order restrictions on the soft anomalous dimension
- \blacktriangleright Counting rules for rap. div., and definition of $R'\mbox{-}operation$
- ▶ Overlap of rap.divs. and violation of factorization



・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Similarly to UV renormalization the rapidity-divergence renormalization satisfies **renormalization group equation** with respect to rapidity divergence renormalization scale ζ . The scaling with ζ has anomalous dimension

$$\mathcal{D}(b) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^{-1}(b,\zeta) \frac{d}{d \ln \zeta} \mathbf{R}(b,\zeta)$$

In literature, this object is known

- under different names: "non-perturbative Sudakov kernel", "CSS kernel", "rapidity anomalous dimension".
- ▶ under different letters -K(b)/2 [Collins, et al], $F_{q\bar{q}}(b)$ [Becher, Neubert], γ_{ν} , ...



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Important consequence: correspondence between soft- and rapidity-anomalous dimensions.

In conformal field theory

$$\mathcal{D}(\mu, \mathbf{b}) = \gamma_s(\mu, (v_1 \cdot v_2)), \qquad (v_1 \cdot v_2) = \mathbf{b}^2 e^{2\gamma_E} / 4$$

Checked by explicit calculation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [Li,Zhu,1604.01404]



Important consequence: correspondence between soft- and rapidity-anomalous dimensions.

In conformal field theory

$$\mathcal{D}(\mu, \mathbf{b}) = \gamma_s(\mu, (v_1 \cdot v_2)), \qquad (v_1 \cdot v_2) = \mathbf{b}^2 e^{2\gamma_E} / 4$$

Checked by explicit calculation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [Li,Zhu,1604.01404]

In QCD

In QCD the same relation holds at the critical point $(e.g.\epsilon^* = -\beta(a_s))$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mu, \mathbf{b}; \epsilon^*) = \gamma_s(\mu, (v_1 \cdot v_2)), \qquad (v_1 \cdot v_2) = \mathbf{b}^2 e^{2\gamma_E} / 4$$

This relation allows one to gain β -function terms of higher-order from lower order [AV,1610.05791].

Using this relation, one can derive 3-loop \mathcal{D} from 2-loop \mathcal{D} and 3-loop γ_s .

Universität Regensburg

A	vla	dim	nrov

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_s(\{v\}) = 2\mathbf{D}(\{\mathbf{b}\}, \epsilon^*)$$

How to use it?

▶ Physical value is $\mathbf{D}({\mathbf{b}}, 0)$

•
$$\epsilon^* = 0 - a_s \beta_0 - a_s^2 \beta_1 - a_s^3 \beta_2 - \dots$$

▶ We can compare order by order in PT

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{1}(\{b\}) &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}(\{v\}), \\ \mathbf{D}_{2}(\{b\}) &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}(\{v\}) + \beta_{0} \mathbf{D}_{1}'(\{b\}), \\ \mathbf{D}_{3}(\{b\}) &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{3}(\{v\}) + \beta_{0} \mathbf{D}_{2}'(\{b\}) + \beta_{1} \mathbf{D}_{1}'(\{b\}) - \frac{\beta_{0}^{2}}{2} \mathbf{D}_{1}''(\{b\}), \end{aligned}$$

Universität Regensburg

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

TMD rapidity anomalous dimension

3-loop expression for RAD

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbf{b}^2, \epsilon) = -2a_s C_F \left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{b}^2}{4} \right)^{\epsilon} \Gamma(-\epsilon) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] = a_s C_F \left\{ 2\mathbf{L}_{\mu} + \epsilon \underbrace{(\mathbf{L}_{\mu}^2 + \zeta_2)}_{D'_1} + \ldots \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{2}(\mathbf{b}^{2},\epsilon) = a_{s}^{2}C_{F}\left\{ \mathbf{B}^{2\epsilon}\Gamma^{2}(-\epsilon)\left(C_{A}(2\psi_{-2\epsilon}-2\psi_{-\epsilon}+\psi_{\epsilon}+\gamma_{E})\right. \\ \left. +\frac{1-\epsilon}{(1-2\epsilon)(3-2\epsilon)}\left(\frac{3(4-3\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}C_{A}-N_{f}\right)\right) + \mathbf{B}^{\epsilon}\frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon)}{\epsilon}\beta_{0} + \frac{\beta_{0}}{2\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2\epsilon}\right\}$$

Taking

$$\gamma_s = C_F a_s \left(\Gamma_0 \mathcal{L}_\mu - \tilde{\gamma}_0 \right) + C_F a_s^2 \left(\Gamma_1 \mathcal{L}_\mu - \tilde{\gamma}_1 \right) + C_F a_s^3 \left(\Gamma_2 \mathcal{L}_\mu - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \right) + \dots$$

We find

$$\mathcal{D}_3(\mathbf{b}^2, 0) = \log -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}_2}{2} + (\beta_1 + \beta_0 \Gamma_1)\zeta_2 - \frac{2}{3}\beta_0^2\zeta_3 + \beta_0 \left\{ C_A\left(\frac{2428}{81} - 26\zeta_4\right) - N_f \frac{328}{81} \right\}$$

It coincides with the direct calculation [Li,Zhu,1604.01404].

A V	lad	imiroi	
	cece.		ŝ

$$\mathcal{D}_{L=0}^{(3)} = -\frac{C_A^2}{2} \left(\frac{12328}{27} \zeta_3 - \frac{88}{3} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 - 192 \zeta_5 - \frac{297029}{729} + \frac{6392}{81} \zeta_2 + \frac{154}{3} \zeta_4 \right) \\ -\frac{C_A N_f}{2} \left(-\frac{904}{27} \zeta_3 + \frac{62626}{729} - \frac{824}{81} \zeta_2 + \frac{20}{3} \zeta_4 \right) - \frac{C_F N_f}{2} \left(-\frac{304}{9} \zeta_3 + \frac{1711}{27} - 16\zeta_4 \right) - \frac{N_f^2}{2} \left(-\frac{32}{9} \zeta_3 - \frac{1856}{729} \right)$$

October 29, 2019 19 / 43

Important in QCD rapidity anomalous dimension is generically non-perturbative

- ▶ Non-perturbative terms important at $b \gtrsim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{-1}$
- At $b \to 0$ is entirely perturbative
- ▶ There is no "non-perturbative" proof of factorization, but it is expected (e.g. b^2 -correction factorizes at LO [Scimemi,AV,1609.06047], at all orders [AV,in prep.])
- ▶ All non-perturbative correction must turn to zero at ϵ^* , "renomalon nature".

Final form of TMD factorization



Part II: TMD evolution



电

A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 22 / 43

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ^2d^2\mathbf{q}_T} = \sigma_0 \int d^2b \, e^{i(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q}_T)} H_{ff'}(Q,\mu) F_{f\leftarrow h}(x_1,b;\mu,\zeta_1) D_{f'\leftarrow h}(x_2,b;\mu,\zeta_2) + \dots$$

$$\textbf{Evolution}$$

TMD evolution is given by 2 equations

$$\mu^2 \frac{dF(x,b;\mu,\zeta)}{d\mu^2} = \gamma_F(\mu,\zeta)F(x,b;\mu,\zeta), \qquad \qquad \zeta \frac{dF(x,b;\mu,\zeta)}{d\zeta} = -\mathcal{D}(\mu,b)F(x,b;\mu,\zeta)$$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ^2d^2\mathbf{q}_T} = \sigma_0 \int d^2b \, e^{i(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q}_T)} H_{ff'}(Q,\mu) F_{f\leftarrow h}(x_1,b;\mu,\zeta_1) D_{f'\leftarrow h}(x_2,b;\mu,\zeta_2) + \dots$$

$$\textbf{Evolution}$$



$$u^2 \frac{dF(x,b;\mu,\zeta)}{d\mu^2} = \gamma_F(\mu,\zeta)F(x,b;\mu,\zeta), \qquad \qquad \zeta \frac{dF(x,b;\mu,\zeta)}{d\zeta} = -\mathcal{D}(\mu,b)F(x,b;\mu,\zeta)$$

ŀ



TMD evolution is a double-scale evolution

$$\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b; \mu, \zeta) = \frac{\gamma_F^f(\mu, \zeta)}{2} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b; \mu, \zeta), \tag{1}$$

$$\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b; \mu, \zeta) = -\mathcal{D}^f(\mu, b) F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b; \mu, \zeta), \tag{2}$$

Both anomalous dimensions related to each other (CS equation [Collins,Sopper,1981])

$$-\zeta \frac{d\gamma_F(\mu,\zeta)}{d\zeta} = 2\mu^2 \frac{d\mathcal{D}(\mu,b)}{d\mu^2} = \Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\mu)$$
(3)



三 のへの

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

TMD evolution is a double-scale evolution

$$\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} F_{f\leftarrow h}(x,b;\mu,\zeta) = \frac{\gamma_F^f(\mu,\zeta)}{2} F_{f\leftarrow h}(x,b;\mu,\zeta), \tag{1}$$

$$\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b; \mu, \zeta) = -\mathcal{D}^f(\mu, b) F_{f \leftarrow h}(x, b; \mu, \zeta), \tag{2}$$

Solution: $F(x, \mathbf{b}; \mu_f, \zeta_f) = R[\mathbf{b}; (\mu_f, \zeta_f) \to (\mu_i, \zeta_i)]F(x, \mathbf{b}; \mu_i, \zeta_i)$

Expression for R is known as "Sudakov exponent"

e.g.[Collins' textbook]

$$\times \exp\left\{\ln\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_A}}{\mu_b}\tilde{K}(b_*;\mu_b) + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu'}{\mu'}\left[\gamma_D(g(\mu');1) - \ln\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_A}}{\mu'}\gamma_K(g(\mu'))\right]\right\}.$$
(13.70)

This is probably the best formula for calculating and fitting TMD fragmentation functions;

Universität Regensburg

-

October 29, 2019 24 / 43

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Two-dimensional picture

see details in [Scimemi, AV, 1803.11089]





Evolution field $\mathbf{E} = \left(\frac{\gamma F}{2}, -\mathcal{D}\right)$ is conservative $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathbf{E}} = 0$ Evol.potential: $\mathbf{E} = \nabla U$

A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 25 / 43

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Two-dimensional picture

see details in [Scimemi, AV, 1803.11089]



TMD evolution is 2D evolution

$$\begin{aligned} R[\mathbf{b}; i \to f] &= \\ \exp \int_{P} d\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \exp(U_{f} - U_{i}) = \\ \exp \left[\int_{P} \left(\gamma_{F}(\mu, \zeta) \frac{d\mu}{\mu} - \mathcal{D}(\mu, \mathbf{b}) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

- ▶ Path independence
- ▶ Unified picture of various evolution scenarios

Evolution field is conservative

Evol.potential:

$$\mathbf{E} = \left(\frac{\gamma_F}{2}, -\mathcal{D}\right)$$
$$\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{E}} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla U$$

Universität Regensburg

A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 25 / 43







A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 26 / 43













 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:main_complication:} \mathbf{b}^2 \in (0,\infty) \\ \text{perturbative logarithms } \ln(\mathbf{b}^2\mu^2), \ln(\mathbf{b}^2\zeta), \ln(\mu^2/\zeta), \, a_s(\mu) \end{array}$

It is not possible to minimize all logarithms in a reasonably wide range of b. Something blows up in any case. But it is not needed!



A.Vladimirov

TMD distribution is not defined by a scale (μ, ζ) It is defined by an equipotential line.



The scaling is defined by a difference between scales a difference between potentials

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ



TMD distribution is not defined by a scale (μ, ζ) It is defined by an equipotential line.



The scaling is defined by a difference between scales a difference between potentials

Evolution factor to both points is the same although the scales are different by 10^2GeV^2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ



TMD distributions on the same equipotential line are equivalent.



Universal scale-independent TMD

There is a unique line which passes though all μ 's

The optimal TMD distribution

$$F(x,b) = F(x,b;\mu,\zeta_{\mu})$$

where ζ_{μ} is the special line.



The evolution potential depends on b.

Relative position of its elements (saddle-point, special lines) dictates the shape of evolution factor.



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ^2d^2\mathbf{q}_T} = \sigma_0 \int d^2b \, e^{i(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q}_T)} H_{ff'}(Q,\mu) F_{f\leftarrow h}(x_1,b;\mu,Q^2) F_{f'\leftarrow h}(x_2,b;\mu,Q^2) + \dots$$

$$\mathbf{Evolution}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dydQ^2d^2\mathbf{q}_T} = \sigma_0 \int d^2b \, e^{i(\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{q}_T)} H_{ff'}(Q,\mu) R[\mathbf{b};(\mu,Q^2) \to \mathbf{s}.\mathbf{l}.]^2 F_{f\leftarrow h}(x_1,b) F_{f'\leftarrow h}(x_2,b) + \dots$$

Evolution factor has simple expression $R[\mathbf{b}; (\mu, \zeta) \to \text{s.l.}] = \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\mu}}\right)^{-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b}, \mu)}$

Good PT convergence $\mu = Q$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

æ

Part III: Practice



A.Vladimirov

October 29, 2019 31 / 43

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Single cross-section \Rightarrow three NP functions

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T^2 dQ} \simeq \sigma_0(Q) \int d^2 \mathbf{b} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{p}_T} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\zeta_Q(b)}\right)^{-2\mathcal{D}(Q,b)} F_1(x_1,\mathbf{b}) F_2(x_2,\mathbf{b})$$

- ▶ TMD distribution $F_1(x_1, b)$
- ▶ TMD distribution $F_2(x_2, b)$
- ▶ non-perturbative evolution $\mathcal{D}(Q, b)$



Single cross-section \Rightarrow three NP functions

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T^2 dQ} \simeq \sigma_0(Q) \int d^2 \mathbf{b} e^{i\mathbf{b}\mathbf{p}_T} \left(\frac{Q^2}{\zeta_Q(b)}\right)^{-2\mathcal{D}(Q,b)} F_1(x_1,\mathbf{b}) F_2(x_2,\mathbf{b})$$

- ▶ TMD distribution $F_1(x_1, b)$
- ▶ TMD distribution $F_2(x_2, b)$
- ▶ non-perturbative evolution $\mathcal{D}(Q, b)$



TMD factorization \Rightarrow small- q_T/Q



Data-cut rule $q_T \simeq 0.25 Q$ see study in [I.Scimemi,AV,1706.01473]

(ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日)





A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 34 / 43

Non-perturbative evolution kernel



Non-perturbative evolution kernel



Extracted non-perturbative rapidity anomalous dimension is universal and can be used to describe different data

Pion-induced Drell-Yan [AV,1907.10356]



Extracted non-perturbative rapidity anomalous dimension is universal and can be used to describe different data

Semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering [Scimemi, AV, work in progress]



A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 36 / 43

Extracted non-perturbative rapidity anomalous dimension is universal and can be used to describe different data

artemide

Program package for TMD phenomenology

- ▶ Efficient code based on TMD factorization
- ▶ Variety of evolution schemes (CSS, ζ -prescription, improved-D, resummed, etc)
- ▶ Full control on non-perturbative and model inputs.
- All possible combinations of perturbative inputs LO,NLO,NNLO,"NNNLL"
- ▶ Bin-integrations, lepton cuts, etc.
- ▶ The library of processes is constantly updating
 - Drell-Yan -like
 - (unpolarized) Z/γ^{*}, W's, Higgs, pion-induces.
 - ▶ SIDIS
 - unpolarized
 - Sivers effect (in preparation)
 - ▶ More in plans

repository: https://github.com/VladimirovAlexey/artemide-public

Universität Regensburg

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Part IV: A bit on interpretation



A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 37 / 43

Rapidity anomalous dimension is function that directly measures properties of QCD vacuum Which properties?

Non-perturbative definition of RAD

Rapidity anomalous dimension is independent on regularization.

$$S(b; \Lambda_{+}\Lambda_{-}) = \frac{\text{Tr}}{N_{c}} \langle 0|P \exp\left(-ig \int_{C} dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x)\right) |0\rangle$$



A	V I.	ad	1m	Iro	37
		~~~		** •	

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト

Rapidity anomalous dimension is function that directly measures properties of QCD vacuum Which properties?

Non-perturbative definition of RAD

Rapidity anomalous dimension is independent on regularization.

$$S(b; \Lambda_{+}\Lambda_{-}) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}}{N_{c}} \langle 0 | P \exp\left(-ig \int_{C} dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x)\right) | 0 \rangle$$

$$\bar{n} = - - - T$$

$$At \Lambda_{+} \Lambda_{-} \to \infty$$

$$S(b; \Lambda_{+}\Lambda_{-}) = \exp\left(-2\mathcal{D}(b)\ln(\Lambda_{+}\Lambda_{-}) + \ldots\right)$$

Due to Lorentz invariance it is enough to  $\Lambda_{-} \rightarrow \infty$ 

・ロト ・日下・・日下

Rapidity anomalous dimension can be defined as a primary object.



$$S'(b) = ig \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\mathrm{Tr}}{N_c} \langle 0|F_{b+}(-\Lambda_+ b + \beta b)P \exp\left(-ig \int_{C'} dx^{\mu} A_{\mu}(x)\right) |0\rangle$$

▶ Route to non-perturbative calculation and modeling.

A.Vladimirov

Universität Regensburg

39 / 43

ъ

October 29, 2019

・ロン ・日ン ・ヨン・

Power correction to  $\mathcal{D}$ 

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{pert}(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2))}_{known \text{ at } N^3 LO} + \mathbf{b}^2 \mathcal{D}_1(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2)) + \mathbf{b}^4 \mathcal{D}_2(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2)) + \dots$$



Universität Regensburg

A.Vladimirov

TMD evolution

October 29, 2019 40 / 43

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Power correction to  $\mathcal{D}$ 

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{pert}(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2))}_{known \text{ at } N^3 LO} + \mathbf{b}^2 \mathcal{D}_1(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2)) + \mathbf{b}^4 \mathcal{D}_2(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2)) + \dots$$



$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{r}^2}{\mathbf{r}^2} \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}^2), \qquad \text{here } \mathbf{r}^2 = -r^2 > 0.$$

A.Vladimirov

October 29, 2019

40 / 43

Power correction to  ${\mathcal D}$ 

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{b}) = \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{pert}(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2))}_{\text{known at N}^3 \text{LO}} + \mathbf{b}^2 \mathcal{D}_1(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2)) + \mathbf{b}^4 \mathcal{D}_2(\ln(\mu^2 \mathbf{b}^2)) + \dots$$

#### Estimation

$$\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mathbf{r}^2}{\mathbf{r}^2} \varphi_1(\mathbf{r}^2), \qquad \text{here } \mathbf{r}^2 = -r^2 > 0.$$

▶ At  $\mathbf{r}^2 \to 0$ ,  $\varphi_1 \sim \mathbf{r}^2 \frac{\pi^2}{36} G_2$ ▶ At  $\mathbf{r}^2 \to \infty$ ,  $\varphi_1 \sim \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}^2}$  (at least)

So, order-of-magnitude estimation

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \lesssim \frac{\pi^2}{72} \frac{G_2}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2} \sim (0.01 - 0.05) {\rm GeV}^2$$

Extracted value

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = 0.022 \pm 0.009 \text{GeV}^2$$

Universität Regensburg

æ

A 1	V I D .	I DOTE:
<u>n</u> .	v iau	

October 29, 2019 41 / 43

Non-perturbative evolution kernel measures properties of QCD vacuum (but requires model for interpretation)



Stochastic Vacuum model

- ▶ The simplest model for QCD vacuum (Wilson-lines **unimportant**)
- ▶ Allows for the definition of a "static potential" (linear)

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}\frac{\pi}{4}\mathcal{D}''(0) + \frac{\mathcal{D}'(0)}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{2}\int_{\mathbf{r}}^{\infty} d\mathbf{x} \frac{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbf{x}^2 \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathbf{r}^2}}.$$

► "String tension"  $\sigma = \frac{\pi}{4} \mathcal{D}''(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} c_0 \simeq 0.05 \pm 0.02 \text{GeV}^2 \text{ vs. } 0.19 \text{GeV}^2$ 

#### Nowadays,

- transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization theorem is proved,
- ▶ divergences of (TMD) soft factors **are understood**,
- ▶ rapidity anomalous dimension are known at NNLO
- ► TMD evolution **works!**.

A.Vladimirov

